

**Littler Mendelson, P.C.** 815 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006-4046

September 21, 2018

Maurice Baskin 202.772.2526 direct 202.842.3400 main 202.318.4048 fax mbaskin@littler.com

Molly C. Dwyer Clerk of the Court United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit The James R. Browning Courthouse 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Communication Workers of America, AFL-CIO v. NLRB v. Purple Communications, Inc., Case Nos. 17-70948, 17-71062, and 17-71276

## Dear Ms. Dwyer:

Pursuant to FRAP 28(j), Cross-Petitioner Purple Communications, Inc. submits this letter of supplemental authority to call the Court's attention to the attached Brief of the General Counsel recently filed in the case of *Caesars Entertainment Corp. d/b/a Rio All-Suites Hotel & Casino*, NLRB Case No. 28-CA-0608410. The General Counsel's brief argues that the Board decision at issue in the present appeal should be overruled for "a variety of legal and practical reasons" that are diametrically opposed to the brief filed by the counsel for the General Counsel in the present appeal.

The attached Brief of the General Counsel summarizes the reasons why the decision at issue in the present appeal was wrongly decided, at page 3 of the brief, as follows:

First, contrary to decades of Board precedent, the decision impermissibly created a right by employees to use employer-owned and -financed communication systems, even where employees possess a plethora of other means of communication. Second, the decision requires employers to provide and pay for employee communications in violation of their First Amendment rights. Third, permitting employees to use an employer's email systems for Section 7 communications places an undue and unnecessary burden on

Molly C. Dwyer September 21, 2018 Page 2

> employers' business operations and has the practical effect of reducing productivity, disrupting business operations, and can compromise system security and confidentiality.

The attached brief thus provides strong additional grounds for the Board's pending Motion to Stay Oral Argument and Hold the Appeal in Abeyance, since Counsel for the General Counsel will otherwise be placed in the untenable position of arguing contradictory positions. The attached brief further supports Cross-Petitioner Purple's pending petition for review and Purple's request therein to remand this case to the Board for reconsideration in light of subsequent legal developments.

Sincerely,

/s/Maurice Baskin

Maurice Baskin Michael J. Lotito Littler Mendelson, P.C.

Lawrence Levien
Andrew Turnbull
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

Counsel for Purple Communications, Inc.

cc: All counsel of record via ECF filing